CF 1061 (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 159/2004

PETITIONER : Sudarshan Dewangan S/o Pancharun (Late) Dewangan, aged about 44 years, R/o Village- Chapa, District – Janjgir Champa (C.G.).

- Versus-

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department Of Public Works, Mantralaya, Shastri Chowk, Raipur (C.G.).

2. Superintending Engineer, Public Works Department, Bilaspur (C.G.).

3. Shri Poonam Singh Rajput, S/o Shri Raghunath Singh, Aged about 42 years, Working as Assistant Drafts Man, R/o Champa, District- Janjgir Champa (C.G.).

4. Shri Brijsh Kumar Gupta, S/o Shri Ramprasad Gupta, Aged about 39 years, Working as Tracer, R/o Gud, District- Rewa (M.P.)

5. Shri Dinesh Kumar Pandey, S/o Not known, aged about 43 years, Working as Tracer in the office of Executive Engineer, P.W.D. Pendra, District- Bilaspur (C.G.)

6. Shri Ayodhya Prasad Jaiswal, S/o Not known, aged about 40 years, Working as Tracer in the office of Executive Engineer, P.W.D. Korba (C.G.).

शपथ आयुक्त ★ एन.के. अग्रवाल ★ बिलासपुर तहसील कोर्ट 3/4/04

RECEIVED COPY Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

P.R. No. 165/04 Presented by Shri L.T. Modi Dated 15.04.04

7. Shri D. Raghunath,
S/o Late D. Ramarao,
Aged about 30 years,
Working as Tracer in the office
Of the Executive Engineer, P.W.D.
Champa, District- Janjgir Champa(C.G.)

8. Smt. K. D. Das,
W/o Not known, aged about 40 years,
Working as Assistant Grade-III
In the office of Superintending
Engineer, P.W.D. Bilaspur (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND SUITABLE DIRECTION TO THE RESPONDENTS.

(43)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 159 of 2004

Petitioner Sudarshan Dewangan

Versus

Respondents State of Chhattisgarh and others

Single Bench : Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri.**

Shri Ali Asgar, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri V.V.S. Moorthy, Deputy Advocate General with Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents No. 1 and 2.

None for the other respondents.

ORAL ORDER

(8th May, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits on instructions that the petitioner has already been regularized and as such no grievance survives, to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents No. 1 and 2 have no objection.

2. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge